

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,820	02/03/2004	Koichiro Tanaka	740756-2709	9528	
22204 759	90 08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
NIXON PEABODY, LLP			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			1725		
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2000	DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/769,820	TANAKA, KOICHIRO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Samuel M. Heinrich	1725			
<i> Ti</i> Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
WHICHE - Extension: after SIX (- If NO peric - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOES OF time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)∐ Thi 3)∐ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) filed ons action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This ce this application is in condition for allowared in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		emerits is		
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)	of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawnim(s) is/are withdrawnim(s) is/are allowed. sim(s) is/are allowed. sim(s) is/are rejected. sim(s) is/are objected to. sim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on <u>03 February 2004</u> is/are objected to an other placement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine of the objected of the objected to by the Examine of the objected of t	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/170,739. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of I	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite)-152) 		

Application/Control Number: 10/769,820

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP410116801A. See the Abstract and Figures 5 and 6.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 4,724,222 to Feldman. Feldman describes (column 6, lines 11-20) a concave wafer chuck used with an energy beam apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 5,907,770 to Yamazaki et al and in view of USPN 4,724,222 to Feldman. AAPA discloses (Specification "Description of the Related Art" pp. 2-9, and Figures 2 and 3) well known laser annealing apparatus, but do not describe the means for expanding and condensing the beam or the cylindrical shaped stage. Yamazaki et al show (Figures 13 and 14) well known means

Art Unit: 1725

for expanding and condensing the beam and the use of well known beam modification apparatus would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the means for expanding and condensing provide energy for annealing silicon. Feldman describes (column 6, lines 11-20) a concave wafer chuck used with an energy beam apparatus. The use of the concave chuck surface would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the curved surface provides precise relationship with a reference surface for high-resolution patterning techniques.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,820

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725